



a New Day for Federal Service

Navigating the Competency Modeling Maze

Rebecca L. Fraser

Matisha Montgomery

U.S. Office of Personnel Management

IPAC Conference, July 2012

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Overview

- Job Analysis (JA) vs. Competency Modeling (CM)
 - Importance
 - Definitions
 - Comparisons
 - Best Practices
- Practical Implications
 - Original Project Task and Goals
 - Our Approach
 - Obstacles
 - Outcomes and Deliverables
 - Lessons Learned
 - The Exit Strategy



What adjectives would you use to describe...

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Job Analysis (JA)?

- Traditional
- Systematic
- Rigorous
- Time consuming
- Narrowly focused
 - Tasks or work activities
 - Specific knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs)
- Job specific
- An approach to competency modeling

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Competency Modeling (CM)?

- Broad
- User-friendly
- Tied to organizational goals and strategy
- Widely applicable, crosscutting
- An approach to job analysis

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Importance of Job Analysis and Competency Modeling

- Both serve as a foundation for a variety of human resources (HR) functions
 - Selection & Promotion
 - Performance Appraisal
 - Training and Development
- Job analysis is a legally defensible process supporting HR decision making
- Competency modeling can align an organization's HR systems



Definitions

Job Analysis (JA)

= a systematic procedure for gathering, documenting, and analyzing information about the content, context, and requirements of a job

Outcomes

- List of specific tasks/activities/work behaviors for the job
- List of knowledge, skills, abilities, and other characteristics (KSAOs) or competencies important for successful performance on the job

Competency Modeling (CM)

= a systematic procedure for identifying a set of competencies important to effective organizational performance

Outcomes

- collections of KSAOs or competencies needed for effective performance in the job(s) or organization-wide (Campion et al., 2011)

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

In Discussion

- Are job analysis and competency modeling the same?
- Are job analysis and competency modeling different? How are they different?
- Which approach is best?

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Job Analysis vs. Competency Modeling: Perspectives in the Literature

- JA uses a more rigorous methodology than CM
- JA uses a bottom-up approach, rather than top-down approach as used in CM
- CM considers the future, rather than just the present
- JA is work-oriented; CM is worker-oriented
- CM can set performance expectations and influence employee behavior
- CM links results to organizational goals and strategy, capturing attention of management and executives

(Campion et al., 2011; Sanchez & Levine, 2009; Shippmann et al., 2000)

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Job Analysis vs. Competency Modeling: Perspectives in the Literature

- JA is outdated; it cannot accommodate the dynamic nature of today's jobs and organizations
- JA is most appropriate when results are subject to legal or union review (i.e., personnel decision making)
- CM is most appropriate for training and development and aligning HR systems
- CM is the “Trojan Horse” for JA

(Campion et al., 2011; Sackett, Walmsley, & Laczó, in press; Shippmann et al., 2000)

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Job Analysis vs. Competency Modeling

Much discussion over the difference between job analysis and competency modeling

- 17 dimensions of differentiation
 - Shippmann et al. (2000)
- 6 dimensions of differentiation
 - Sanchez & Levine (2009)
- 10 dimensions of differentiation
 - Campion et al. (2011)
- 5 dimensions of differentiation
 - Sackett, Walmsley, & Laczo (in press)
- Commonality
 - Methodological rigor
 - Purpose and focus
 - Content and domain coverage

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

More Recent Perspectives

- “Job analysis and competency modeling should supplement rather than displace one another... they ought to coexist in the human resources toolbox” (Sanchez & Levine, 2009)
- “...inappropriate to proclaim competency modeling as a replacement for job analysis... each approach has a different focus... the appropriateness of either methodology should depend on the purpose” (Sackett, Walmsley, & Laczko, in press)

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

More Recent Perspectives

- “Job analysis methods must align with purpose: One size does not fit all” (Sackett, Walmsley, & Laczo, in press)
 - Activity vs. Attribute
 - General vs. Specific
 - Qualitative vs. Quantitative
 - Taxonomy-based vs. Blank slate
 - Observer-based vs. Informant-based
 - KSA vs. KSAO
 - Single job vs. Job comparison
 - Descriptive vs. Prescriptive

A vertical strip of the American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Best Practices

Campion et al. (2011) outlines 20 best practices, within three main topic areas:

1. Analyzing competency information
 - Consider organizational context
 - Use job analysis methods to identify competencies
2. Organizing and presenting competency information
 - Define the anatomy of a competency
 - Include both fundamental and technical competencies
3. Using competency information
 - Use competencies to develop HR systems
 - Maintain currency of competency model (review/update at least every five years)



In Practice...

- “TYPE I” CM: Ordinary Job Analysis
 - Competencies are simply relabeled KSAOs (“Trojan Horse”)
- “TYPE II” CM: Bad Job Analysis
 - Competencies are ad hoc, “armchair” constructs developed by convenience samples of non-SMEs (“Job Analysis in PowerPoint”)
- “TYPE III” CM: Organizational Development (i.e., NOT job analysis at all)
 - Competencies are “performance standards for strategic organizational citizenship” and CM is “an organizational intervention designed to promote organizational change or engagement by building, socializing, and internally marketing a common set of behavioral themes and performance standards that reflect an organization’s strategies, culture, or values”

(Pearlman & Sanchez, 2010)



PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS



Original Project Tasks & Goals

- Enterprise-wide competency modeling effort for a large federal agency with many sub-agencies
 - Six mission critical occupations (MCOs)
 - Intended purpose – unite and align common positions from across sub-agencies
- Proficiency level illustrations
 - Six MCOs
 - Intended purpose – assess skill gaps and identify training and development needs
- Career maps
 - Two MCOs
 - Intended purpose – share career development options and progression

A vertical strip of the American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Our Approach

- Conduct a job analysis that culminates in a competency model
 - Review core documents (position descriptions (PDs), assessment questionnaires (AQs), vacancy announcements) and HR Manager (task/competency database), O*NET, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)
 - Conduct SME panels (incumbents, supervisors)
 - Administer Occupational Analysis Survey
 - Apply ratings cut-off based on best practices (Uniform Guidelines)
- Develop proficiency level illustrations for eight to ten core competencies for each occupation

A vertical strip of the American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Moving Targets

- Conduct a job analysis for ten occupations
 - Review core documents (PDs, AQs, vacancy announcements) and HR Manager (task/competency database), O*NET, BLS
 - Reduce SME panels
 - Remove Occupational Analysis Survey
 - Adjust ratings cut-off for small samples
- Develop proficiency level illustrations for eight to ten core competencies for only three occupations
 - Reduce or remove SME panels

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Obstacles

- Limited information provided
 - Relied upon competency databases – HR Manager, O*NET, BLS; and previous project work with similar occupations
 - Unaware of complexities within some positions (e.g., specialty areas, overlapping levels of employee performance)

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Obstacles

- Limited timeframe
 - Shortened timeframe for open survey
 - Recommendation to have underrepresented agencies review results
- In lieu of Occupational Analysis Survey:
 - Snowball sample of SMEs
 - Excel rating worksheets
 - Recommendation for a larger, representative sample to review results

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the blue field with white stars and the red and white stripes.

Obstacles

- Limited access to SMEs (communication hierarchy) did not allow us to gain information, gain buy-in, or communicate goals
 - Reused the same group of SMEs
 - Improvised
 - Enticement and incentives

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Obstacles

- Lack of SME participation in panels, response to email requests
 - Transparency throughout the process and next steps
 - Communicating value-added and benefits

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the blue field with white stars and the red and white stripes.

Obstacles

- Limited allowance of in-person panels
 - One 2-day panel of incumbents and supervisors vs. two 2-day panels
 - Virtual meetings
 - Email reviews

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Outcomes/Deliverables

- Job analysis reports for ten MCOs
- Proficiency level illustrations for three MCOs
- Career maps for three MCOs
- Communication plans for career maps

A vertical strip of an American flag is visible on the left side of the slide, showing the stars and stripes.

Lessons Learned

- Communication plan upfront is required
- Engage with the union immediately
- Locate all standard operating procedures/policies/regulations impacting the project
- Have a full-time point of contact
- Get a champion
- Have a purpose
- Empower SMEs
- Understand the nature of a position across the organization



The Exit Strategy

- **Build Support**
 - Considering organizational context
 - Linking competency models to organizational goals and objectives
 - Using organizational language
 - Using diagrams, pictures, and heuristics to communicate competency models to employees
 - Using competencies to align the HR systems
- **Build Efficiencies**
 - Using competency libraries
 - Achieving the proper level of granularity (number of competencies and amount of detail)
 - Using additional, unique methods
- **Build A Useful Process**
 - Using rigorous job analysis methods to develop competencies
 - Considering future-oriented job requirements
 - Including both fundamental (cross-job) and technical (job-specific) competencies

(Campion et al., 2011)

A vertical strip on the left side of the slide shows a close-up of the American flag, featuring white stars on a blue field and red and white stripes.

Reference List

- Champion, M.A., Fink, A.A., Ruggeberg, B.J., Carr, L., Phillips, G.M., & Odman, R.B. (2011). Doing competencies well: Best practices in competency modeling. *Personnel Psychology, 64*, 225-262.
- Pearlman, K., & Sanchez, J.I. (2010). Work analysis. In J.L. Farr & N.T. Tippins (Eds.), *Handbook of Employee Selection* (pp.). New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Sackett, P. R., Walmsley, P. T., & Laczko, R. M. (in press). Job and work analysis. In N. Schmitt & S. Highhouse (Eds.), *Handbook of Psychology, Volume 12: Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, (2nd ed., pp. 48-87). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Sanchez, J.I., & Levine, E.L. (2009). What is (or should be) the difference between competency modeling and traditional job analysis? *Human Resource Management Review, 19*, 53-63.
- Shippmann, J.S., Ash, R.A., Battista, M., Carr, L., Eyde, L.D., Hesketh, B., Kehoe, J., Pearlman, K., Prien, E.P., & Sanchez, J.I. (2000). The practice of competency modeling. *Personnel Psychology, 53*, 703-740.



Questions? Comments?



Contact Information

Rebecca L. Fraser

Rebecca.Fraser@opm.gov

Matisha Montgomery

Matisha.Montgomery@opm.gov