

Genetic and Environmental Components of Leadership

- ◆ Richard D. Arvey, University of Minnesota
- ◆ Maria Rotundo, University of Toronto
- ◆ Matt McGue, University of Minnesota
- ◆ Wendy Johnson, University of Minnesota

“Are Leaders Born or Made?”

◆ Frequent question—long history

- Galton (1869) found that individuals who had attained “eminence” in their field was rare, but was more prevalent among family members
- Problem: Families share common environment and genes
- People have well developed opinions on this issue

Potential Traits Posited to be Related to Leadership

- ◆ Cognitive Dimensions: Lord, et al. (1986) meta-analysis of relationship between intelligence and leadership emergence (.50)
- ◆ Personality: Many dimensions suggested (e.g. aggressiveness, cooperativeness, achievement, etc.)
 - Evidence that personality factors are indeed related to different facets of leadership (Schneider, et al., 1999; Judge, Bono, Illies, & Gerhardt, 2002; Chang & Drasgow, 2001)

Evidence that such traits are also heritable

- ◆ Cognitive functioning: Well established finding that the heritabilities are around .50
- ◆ Personality: Jan, Livesley, & Vernon (1996) showed that the “Big Five” factors were also heritable:
 - Neuroticism—41%
 - Extroversion--53%
 - Openness—61%
 - Agreeableness—41%
 - Conscientiousness—44%
- ◆ Lohelin (1992) gave similar estimates

Little direct evidence for the heritability of Leadership

◆ Johnson, Vernon, McCarthy, Molson, Harris, & Jang (1998)

- Used Monozygotic (n=183) and Dizygotic (n=64) same sex twin pairs
- Used a self-report measure—Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (80-items)
 - ◆ Measure of 9 different concepts: Attributed charisma, idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, etc.

Johnson, et al. Results

- ◆ While limited evidence for significant heritabilities for the nine scales, a general factor--“Transactional Leadership”—showed a heritability of 48%
- ◆ Another general factor—“Transformational Leadership”—showed a heritability of 59%

Present Study

- ◆ To investigate the role of genetic influences in explaining these traits and leadership
- ◆ In addition, to examine the roles played by cognitive and personality factors in explaining leadership

Sample

- ◆ Surveys sent out to 1116 males
- ◆ Total sample of 650 (response rate of 58%)—426 with complete data
 - Monozygotic (MZ) pairs: 119 (n=238)
 - Dizygotic (DZ) pairs: 94 (n=188)
 - Predominately white (98%)
 - Mean age = 36.8 (s.d. = 1.54)

Sample description

- ◆ 34.3% working in production, construction, operating, maintenance, material handling jobs
- ◆ 26.6% working in professional, paraprofessional, or technical occupations
- ◆ No differences observed between twin types on these variable.

Measures

◆ Bio-History Measure of Leadership

- List the work-related professional associations in which they served as a leader
- Indicate whether you have “taken charge of a special project” and/or “planned or coordinated a special event”
- Positions at work held that would be considered managerial or supervisory in nature
- Scale ranged from 0 to 11 ($m=3.72$, $s.d.=2.84$)
- Reliability = .63

◆ Correlated with other variables according to expectations

Other Measures

◆ Personality Measures:

- Telegen's "Differential Personality Questionnaire": Social Potency, Achievement, Social closeness

◆ Cognitive Functioning: Vocabulary test collected on one-third of sample

Analyses

◆ Assumptions with twin research:

- Equal Environments Assumption
- Assume additive genetic effects
- $V = V_g + V_s + V_n$
- V_s =variance due to the shared environments experienced by twins reared together in the same families
- V_n =variance due to experiences of twins due to exogenous or external factors
- The covariance between the MZ twins reflects variance due to shared environment and heredity only ($V_g + V_s$)
- The covariance for DZ twins reflects .5 heredity + V_s

Preliminary Model

◆ $h^2 = 2(\text{intraclass MZ} - \text{intraclass DZ})$

Intraclass	MZ	DZ	h^2
Leadership	.48	.21	.55
SP	.58	.19	.79
Ach	.47	.11	.72
Vocab	.77	.49	.56

Preliminary evidence for the heritabilities of leadership using these measures

Structural equation modeling

- ◆ Can give estimates of how much variance to apportion to different sources:
 - Genetic (additive)
 - Shared Environmental factors*
 - Non-shared environmental factors**

*Can calculate this by $V_s = \text{intraclassMZ} - h^2$

**Calculated by "what's left over"; also includes error

"Fit Statistics"

- ◆ Low Chi-square value
- ◆ Low RMSEA
- ◆ Lowest AIC value

Model Estimates

	Genetics	Shared Envir	Non-shared Envir
Leadership	.47	.00	.53
SP	.55	.00	.45
Ach	.43	.00	.57
Vocab	.43	.28	.29

Fit Statistics

	AIC	RMSEA
Leadership	-4.883	.00
SP	-1.704	.07
Achiv	-2.70	.05
Vocab	.932	.15

Very good fit according to these statistics,
except for Vocab

Results (continued)

- ◆ Intra-class correlations indicate significant heritabilities for the Leadership and other measures
- ◆ Model testing procedures indicate significant heritabilities for Leadership and other measures.
- ◆ Roughly 35% to 50% of the variation in measured leadership is associated with genetic factors.
- ◆ Shared Environment plays minor role

Other Analyses

- ◆ Measure of personality were correlated against leadership measure: Social Potency (.35) and Achievement (.23)
- ◆ Cognitive variable also related to leadership (.17)
- ◆ These personality and cognitive factors also demonstrated significant heritabilities

Other Analyses

- ◆ Analyses showed that the same genetic factors explained both leadership and the personality factors- common genetic factors— but not for cognitive factor
 - Genetic correlation of 1.0 implies that all genetic influences on one variable also influence another variable
 - ◆ Cognitive-leadership genetic correlation=.07
 - ◆ Social Potency-leadership genetic correlation= .61
 - ◆ Achievement-leadership genetic correlation=.77

Discussion

- ◆ Assumptions: Early environments for MZ and DZ are roughly equal
- ◆ Problems with self-report measures—verification needed
- ◆ No identification of specific gene structures
- ◆ Didn't look at more complex models (e.g. interactions, dominance, etc.)
- ◆ Need replication with older sample
- ◆ Many developmental implications that need exploration